| Committee Member (name): | | |
|---|--|----------|
| Application #: | | |
| Application (title): | | |
| The scoring system for research applications is t Awards Committee as a 0, 1 or 2 based for each | that each application is first graded by each mem evaluation criteria: | nber of |
| 0 – did not successfully achieve the evaluation of | criteria | |
| 1 – achieved the evaluation criteria | | |
| 2 – surpassed the evaluation criteria | | |
| | | |
| Criterion #1: Research Approach (Weight: 309 | %) | |
| Clarity of the research question or hypothesis | | |
| Appropriateness of the methodology to answer the | e research question or test the hypothesis | |
| Originality of the proposed research, in terms of th | e hypotheses and research questions addressed | |
| Feasibility of the research approach to answer the recruitment of subjects, project timeline, prelimina | | |
| Research proposal addresses a significant need or g system. | gap in health research and/or the health care | |
| Use of novel technology/methodology, and/or nov technology/methodology. | el applications of current | |
| | Total: | X 3.0 |
| | Final score for Research A | pproach: |
| Criterion #2: Environment for the Research (V | Veight: 20%) | |
| Availability and accessibility of personnel, facilities research. | | |
| Suitability of personnel, facilities and infrastructure | e required to conduct the research. | |

Qualifications of the applicant(s), including training, experience and independence (relative to career

Total:

Final score for Environment for the Research:

X 4.0

stage).

| Criterion #3: Expected Impact of Research (Weight: 40%) | | |
|---|--|-------|
| Appropriateness and adequacy of the proposed plan for knowledge dissemination and exchange. | | |
| Direct impact on day-to-day optometric practice in Canada | | |
| Potential to impact fundamental optometric science and future research | | |
| Evidence that the research could improve the efficiency and safety/quality access, equity and health outcomes-of care for optometric patients | | |
| Potential for significant improvement of health outcomes in Canada and the world. | | |
| Potential for a significant contribution to the development of more effective health services and products | | |
| Total: | | X 4.0 |
| Final score for Originality of the Proposal: | | |

| Criterion #4: Project Budget (Weight: 10%) | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|-------|
| Dissemination of funds is appropriate for advancement of project | | | |
| Proposed budget reflects realistic costs | | | |
| Proposed budget is detailed and transparent | | | |
| | Total: | | X 2.0 |
| Fina | nal score for Budget Allocation: | | |

| TOTAL SCORE: | |
|---------------------|--|